# The **RISING** Project

Technical Details for Fast Beam Proposals



**RISING** Collaboration

January 2003

### P. Mayet et al.: Shape evolution in light n-rich nuclei

Nucleus of i	nterest:	<sup>34</sup> Mg (	2 step	fragme	entation -	+ lifetir	ne)		
Primary bea Production t	im: arget:	<sup>48</sup> Ca <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pp 4 g/c	os m²	400 Me	V/u			d/R=0.4
First step <sup>48</sup> Secondary b Yield of <sup>36</sup> Si Charge state	Ca → <sup>36</sup> Si: peam: / incident <sup>48</sup> Ca es after produc	<sup>36</sup> Si :: ction tar	get:		312 Me fully stri	V/u pped	1.2 ·	10 <sup>-5</sup>	(6.7 · 10 <sup>-2</sup> mb)
Al degrader Al degrader Charge stat	at S1: at S2: es after degrac	8500 r lers:	- ng/cm <sup>2</sup>	2	- 171 Me fully stri	eV/u pped		}	d/R= 0.85
Energy at re Charge state	eaction target ( es at reaction t	S4): arget (\$	S4):		160 Me fully stri	eV/u pped			
Slits: S1 ± 10cm ( S2 ± 10cm ( S3 ± 10cm (	(open) (open) (open)								
Transmissic At S1 after s At S2 after s Total at S4:	on of <sup>36</sup> Si: slits: slits: (σ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>36</sup> Si) = 1.0	6 cm)		72 % 16 % 15 %	١	rield /	incid 8.7 · 1.9 · 1.8 ·	ent pa 10 <sup>-6</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup>	rticle:
Yield of <sup>36</sup> Si	at S4 / all frag	ments:		0.5					
	Yield of <sup>36</sup> Si a	at S4 / i	nciden	t <sup>48</sup> Ca:			1.8 ·	10 <sup>-6</sup>	(1800 pps)
Second step	o <sup>36</sup> Si → <sup>34</sup> Mg:								
Reaction target at S4: $^{27}$ Al 1.2 g/cm <sup>2</sup> d/R= 0.4									
Energy of <sup>34</sup>	Energy of <sup>34</sup> Mg behind the reaction target: 135 MeV/u								
Yield of <sup>34</sup> M Yield of <sup>34</sup> M Yield of <sup>34</sup> M	g / incident <sup>36</sup> S g / all nuclei: g / isotopes of	i: Mg:				2.7 · 1 1 · 10 <sup>-1</sup> 9 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	0 <sup>-5</sup> (1 <sup>3</sup> (wit ₃	.0 mb hout <sup>3</sup>	, 5 · 10 <sup>-2</sup> pps) <sup>6</sup> Si)

Estimated py rate for  $^{34}$ Mg (3% y efficiency, 100% state population): 130 per day

#### Some additional information

Relative yield of Mg isotopes:

<sup>30</sup> Mg	<sup>31</sup> Mg	<sup>32</sup> Mg	<sup>33</sup> Mg	<sup>34</sup> Mg
12	7	4	2	1

Slits:

S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{48}$ Ca after S1 slits: $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$  ( $1 \cdot 10^{6}$  pps)Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{48}$ Ca before SC21: $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$  ( $3 \cdot 10^{5}$  pps)Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{48}$ Ca before MUSIC at S4: $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$  ( $4 \cdot 10^{3}$  pps)Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{48}$ Ca behind the reaction target: $3 \cdot 10^{-6}$  ( $3 \cdot 10^{3}$  pps)

 $B\rho(D1) = 7.2083 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 7.2084 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 5.0638 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 5.0677 \text{ Tm}$ 





#### M. Bentley et al.:

### Isospin Symmetry and Coulomb Effects Towards the Proton Drip Line

Nucleus of i	nterest:	<sup>45</sup> Cr (2	2 step f	ragme	ntation)		
Primary bea Production t	im: arget:	<sup>58</sup> Ni <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pp 6.3 g/o	os cm²	600 MeV/u		d/R=0.45
<u>First step</u> <sup>58</sup> Secondary b Yield of <sup>46</sup> Cr Charge state	<u>Ni → <sup>46</sup>Cr:</u> beam: <sup>-</sup> / incident <sup>58</sup> Ni es after produc	<sup>46</sup> Cr : ction ta	rget:		410 MeV/u fully st	3.1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup> (0 tripped	).014 mb)
Al degrader Al degrader Charge state	at S1: at S2: es after degrad	5800 i ders:	- mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	2	- 190 MeV/u fully stripped	}	d/R= 0.6
Energy at re Charge state	eaction target ( es at reaction t	S4): arget (	S4):		164 MeV/u fully stripped		
Slits: S1 ± 10cm ( S2 ± 10cm ( S3 ± 10cm (	open) open) open)						
Transmissio At S1 after s At S2 after s Total at S4:	on of <sup>46</sup> Cr: Blits: Blits: $(\sigma_x)^{46}Cr) = 1.$	9 cm)		91 % 46 % 32 %	Yield /	<sup>7</sup> incident pa 2.9 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup> 1.5 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup> 1.0 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup>	rticle:
Yield of <sup>46</sup> Cr	⁺ at S4 / all fraç	gments	:	0.2			
	Yield of <sup>46</sup> Cr	at S4/ i	ncident	t <sup>58</sup> Ni:		1.0 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup>	(1000 pps)
Second ster	$0^{46}$ Cr $\rightarrow {}^{45}$ Cr:						
Reaction tar	get at S4:	<sup>9</sup> Be	700 m	g/cm <sup>2</sup>			d/R= 0.3
Energy of 45	Cr behind the	reactio	n targe	t:	123 MeV/u		
Yield of <sup>45</sup> Cr Yield of <sup>45</sup> Cr Yield of <sup>45</sup> Cr	/ incident <sup>46</sup> Cr: / all nuclei: / isotopes of (	Cr:				1.7 · 10 <sup>-4</sup> (3 4.4 · 10 <sup>-3</sup> (w 0.98 (witho	3.55 mb, 0.17 pps) /ithout <sup>46</sup> Cr) ut <sup>46</sup> Cr)
		45 0 (0			1000/		

Estimated py rate for  ${}^{45}$ Cr (3% y efficiency, 100% state population): 18 per hour

#### Some additional information

Nucleus of interest	Intermediate fragment	Yield of intermediate fragment at S4 / incident <sup>58</sup> Ni	Beam intensity of <sup>58</sup> Ni (limited by rate on detectors)	Estimated pγ rate (3% γ efficiency, 100% state population)
<sup>45</sup> Cr	<sup>46</sup> Cr	1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-6</sup>	1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> pps	18 / h
<sup>45</sup> Sc	<sup>46</sup> Ti	8 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.5 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>6</sup> pps	440 / h
<sup>53</sup> Ni	<sup>54</sup> Ni	8 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>9</sup> pps	10 / h
<sup>53</sup> Mn	<sup>54</sup> Fe	3 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.3 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>5</sup> pps	580 / h

Slits: S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident  ${}^{58}$ Ni after S1 slits: $3.2 \cdot 10^{-3} (3.2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  ${}^{58}$ Ni before SC21: $2.9 \cdot 10^{-3} (2.9 \cdot 10^6 \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  ${}^{58}$ Ni before MUSIC at S4: $5.4 \cdot 10^{-6} (5.4 \cdot 10^3 \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  ${}^{58}$ Ni behind the reaction target: $5.0 \cdot 10^{-6} (5.0 \cdot 10^3 \text{ pps})$ 

 $B\rho(D1) = 6.1711 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 6.1717 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 3.9892 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 3.9899 \text{ Tm}$ 





## Experiment No. 3

## A. Bracco et al.

## Gamma-decay of the GDR in the exotic nucleus <sup>68</sup>Ni via Coulomb excitation

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam : Production target:	<sup>68</sup> Ni ( <sup>86</sup> Kr <sup>9</sup> Be	$({ m GDR} { m via} { m Coulex} \ 10^{10} { m pps} \ 4 { m g/cm^2}$	x) 700 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{R_t} = 0.26$
First stage ${}^{86}\text{Kr} \rightarrow {}^{68}\text{Ni:}$				
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>68</sup> Ni/incident <sup>86</sup> Kr	<sup>68</sup> Ni	$9.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$584.0~{\rm MeV/u}$	0.058  mb (EPAX2)
Charge states after prod. target			fully stripped	()
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader		$6167.8 \mathrm{~mg/cm}$	<sup>2</sup> 415.3 MeV/u fully stripped	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.41$
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states at target			400.2 MeV/u fully stripped	
Slits : $S1 = \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}$ $S2 = \pm 6 \text{ cm}$ $S3 = \pm 1.6 \text{ cm}$				
Transmission of <sup>68</sup> Ni:			Yield/in	cident particle:
At S1, after slits		40.1%		$3.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 2.5 10 <sup>-6</sup>
At reaction target $(\sigma_x(^{68}\text{Ni}) = 0.70)$	cm)	$20.2 \ \%$ $24.5 \ \%$		$2.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Yield of <sup>68</sup> Ni at S4/all fragments:		0.22		
Yield of $^{68}$ Ni at S4/incident $^{86}$ Kr		$2.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$(2.3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ pps})$	
Second stage $^{68}Ni \rightarrow ^{68}Ni^*$ :	_			
Reaction target at S4 Energy of $^{68}$ Ni behind the reaction	<sup>208</sup> Pb	$2 \text{ g/cm}^2$	400.3 MeV/u 262.0 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.14$
Yield of <sup>68</sup> Ni*(Coulex)/incident <sup>68</sup> N	Vi	$\begin{array}{c} 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 8.7 \cdot 10^{-4} \end{array} (1)$	region of pygmy:	600 mb, 81 pps) 150 mb, 20 pps)
Estimated $p\gamma$ rate in $BaF_2$ detect	ors(5 -	· 13 MeV Energy	, (1.1 % $\gamma$ eff. at	$10 \text{ MeV})): 64 \text{ hr.}^{-1}$
Estimated $p\gamma$ rate in Ge detector	rs (15 $\cdot$	- 17 MeV Energy	v, (0.4 % $\gamma$ eff. at	$15 \text{ MeV})) : 6 \text{ hr.}^{-1}$

Slits :  $S1 = \pm 1.5 \text{ cm}$   $S2 = \pm 6 \text{ cm}$  $S3 = \pm 1.6 \text{ cm}$ 

Reaction target =  $\pm 3.5$  cm (max.)

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm B}\rho({\rm D1}) = 9.6691 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D2}) = 9.6746 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D3}) = 7.8722 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D4}) = 7.8716 \ {\rm Tm} \end{array}$ 



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for  $^{68}\mathrm{Ni}$  setting



Figure 2: Time-of-flight vs Position plot for  $^{68}\mathrm{Ni}$  setting

#### H. Grawe et al.: <u>Relativistic Coulex in N=28-34 and N=40-50 nuclei</u>

Nucleus of i	nterest:	<sup>50</sup> Ca								
Primary bea Production t	m: arget:	<sup>82</sup> Se <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pr 2 g/cn	n²	400 MeV/u			d/R=0	).3	
First step <sup>82</sup> Secondary b Yield of <sup>50</sup> Ca Charge state	<u>Se→ <sup>50</sup>Ca:</u> beam: a / incident par es after produc	<sup>50</sup> Ca ticle ction ta	rget:		330 MeV/u fully st	5 <sup>.</sup> 10⁻ ripped	<sup>7</sup> (4.8	10 <sup>-3</sup> ml	0)	
Al degrader Al degrader Charge state	at S1: at S2: es after degrac	7200 ders:	- mg/cm	2	- 130 MeV/u fully stripped		}	d/R=	0.78	
Energy at re Charge state	action target ( es at reaction t	S4): arget (	S4):		108 MeV/u fully stripped					
Slits: S1 ± 10cm ( S2 ± 10cm ( S3 ± 10cm (	open) open) open)									
Transmissio At S1 after s At S2 after s At reaction	n of <sup>50</sup> Ca: lits: lits: target:(ơ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>50</sup> Ca	a) = 2 c	m)	67 % 25 % 14 %	Yield /	incide 3.5 <sup>·</sup> 1 1.3 <sup>·</sup> 1 7.4 <sup>·</sup> 1	nt parl 0 <sup>-7</sup> 0 <sup>-7</sup> 0 <sup>-8</sup>	ticle:		
Yield of <sup>50</sup> Ca	a at S4 / all fra	gment	S:	0.19						
	Yield of <sup>50</sup> Ca	at S4/	incider	nt partio	cle		7.4 · <i>′</i>	10 <sup>-8</sup>	(74 pps)	)
Second step	o <sup>50</sup> Ca → <sup>50</sup> Ca	<u>(2<sup>+</sup>):</u>								
Reaction tar	get at S4:	<sup>208</sup> Pb		1000	mg/cm <sup>2</sup>			d/R=	0.5	
Energy of 50	Ca behind the	reactio	on targe	et:	78 MeV/u					
Yield of <sup>50</sup> Ca Yield of <sup>50</sup> Ca	$(2^+) / incident^+$ $a(2^+) / isotopes$	<sup>50</sup> Ca: of Ca	5 · 1 (produ	0 <sup>-4</sup> (25 cts of <sup>5</sup>	0 mb, 0.04 pp: <sup>0</sup> Ca+ <sup>208</sup> Pb rea	s) ction):	0.43			

Estimated py rate for  ${}^{50}Ca(2^{+})$  (3% y efficiency at 1.3 MeV): 4 /h

Slits: S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21:  $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (5  $10^{4}$  pps) Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4:  $4 \cdot 10^{-7}$  (4  $10^{2}$  pps)

 $B\rho(D1) = 7.0840 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 7.0888 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 4.2318 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.2313 \text{ Tm}$ 





#### H. Grawe et al.: <u>Relativistic Coulex in N=28-34 and N=40-50 nuclei</u>

Nucleus of ir	nterest:	<sup>66</sup> Fe						
Primary bear Production ta	m: arget:	<sup>82</sup> Se <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pps 2 g/cm <sup>2</sup>		400	MeV/u		d/R=0.3
First step <sup>82</sup> Secondary b Yield of <sup>66</sup> Fe Charge state	<u>Se→ <sup>66</sup>Fe:</u> beam: / incident part es after produc	<sup>66</sup> Fe icle tion ta	rget:		331	MeV/u fully st	3 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup> (3.0 ripped	) 10 <sup>-3</sup> mb)
Al degrader Al degrader Charge state	at S1: at S2: es after degrac	5000 i lers:	- mg/cm <sup>2</sup>		154 fully	- MeV/u stripped		d/R=0.70
Energy at re Charge state	action target ( es at reaction t	S4): arget (	S4):		130 fully	MeV/u stripped		
Slits: S1 ± 10cm ( S2 ± 10cm ( S3 ± 10cm (	open) open) open)							
Transmission At S1 after s At S2 after s At reaction t	n of <sup>66</sup> Fe: lits: lits: target:(ơ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>66</sup> Fe	) = 1.7	cm) 3	94 % 17 % 34 %		Yield /	incident pa 3.0 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup> 1.5 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup> 1.1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup>	rticle:
Yield of <sup>66</sup> Fe	at S4 / all frag	gments	s: C	).23				
	Yield of <sup>66</sup> Fe	at S4/	incident	partic	le		1.1 ·	10 <sup>-7</sup> (110 pps)
Second step	$^{66}$ Fe $\rightarrow ^{66}$ Fe(	2 <sup>+</sup> ):						

Reaction target at S4: $^{208}$ Pb1000 mg/cm2d/R= 0.4Energy of  $^{50}$ Ca behind the reaction target:96 MeV/u

Yield of<sup>66</sup>Fe(2<sup>+</sup>) behind the reaction target / incident <sup>66</sup>Fe:  $1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$  (580 mb, 0.19 pps) Yield of <sup>66</sup>Fe / isotopes of Fe (products of <sup>66</sup>Fe+<sup>208</sup>Pb reaction): 0.55

Estimated py rate for  $^{66}$ Fe (3% y efficiency ): 21 /h







#### H. Grawe et al.: <u>Relativistic Coulex in N=28-34 and N=40-50 nuclei</u>

Nucleus of i	nterest:	<sup>82</sup> Ge							
Primary bea Production t	ım: arget:	<sup>86</sup> Kr <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pp 2 g/cm	ท <b>ร</b> 1 <sup>2</sup>	450	MeV/u		d/R=0	).25
First step <sup>86</sup> Secondary b Yield of <sup>82</sup> Ge Charge state	<u>Kr→ <sup>82</sup>Ge:</u> beam: e / incident par es after produc	<sup>82</sup> Ge ticle ction tai	rget:		380	MeV/u 1 <sup>.</sup> 1 fully stripp	0 <sup>-7</sup> (1 10 <sup>-</sup> ed	<sup>-3</sup> mb)	
Al degrader Al degrader Charge state	at S1: at S2: es after degrac	5375 r lers:	- ng/cm²	2	162 fully	- MeV/u stripped	}	d/R=	0.73
Energy at re Charge state	eaction target ( es at reaction t	S4): arget (	S4):		133 fully	MeV/u stripped			
Slits: S1 ± 10cm ( S2 ± 10cm ( S3 ± 10cm (	open) open) open)								
Transmissio At S1 after s At S2 after s At reaction	n of <sup>82</sup> Ge: slits: slits: target:(σ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>82</sup> Ge	e) = 1.6	cm)	100 % 68 % 59 %		Yield / inci 1.0 7.2 6.2	dent part <sup>•</sup> 10 <sup>-7</sup> • 10 <sup>-8</sup> • 10 <sup>-8</sup>	icle:	
Yield of <sup>82</sup> Ge	e at S4 / all fra	gments	6:	0.15					
[	Yield of <sup>82</sup> Ge	at S4/	inciden	it partio	cle		6.2 <sup>.</sup> 1	0 <sup>-8</sup>	(62 pps)
Second step	$0^{82}$ Ge $\rightarrow {}^{82}$ Ge	<u>(2<sup>+</sup>):</u>							
Reaction tar	get at S4:	<sup>208</sup> Pb		200 n	ng/cm	1 <sup>2</sup>		d/R=	0.44
Energy of <sup>82</sup>	Ge behind the	reactic	on targe	et:	91	MeV/u			
Yield of <sup>82</sup> Ge Yield of <sup>82</sup> Ge	e(2 <sup>+</sup> ) / incident <sup>*</sup> e(2 <sup>+</sup> ) / isotopes	<sup>82</sup> Ge: of Ge	8 · 10 (produ	) <sup>-4</sup> (29 cts of <sup>8</sup>	0 mb <sup>2</sup> Ge+	, 0.05 pps) <sup>208</sup> Pb reactio	n): 0.38		

Estimated py rate for  ${}^{82}\text{Ge}(2^+)$  (3% y efficiency at 1.3 MeV): 5 /h

Slits: S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21:  $5 \cdot 10^{-6}$  (5  $10^{3}$  pps) Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4:  $4 \cdot 10^{-7}$  (4  $10^{2}$  pps)

 $B\rho(D1) = 7.8910 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 7.8910 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 4.9000 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.9000 \text{ Tm}$ 





D. Tonev et Investigation relativistic	D. Tonev et al.: Investigation of the origin of mixed-symmetry states using relativistic COULEX of N=52 isotones									
Nucleus of i	nterest:	<sup>88</sup> Kr (fi	ission,	Coulon	nb excit	ation)				
Primary bea Production	am: target:	<sup>238</sup> U <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pp 1416 i		d/R=0.2					
First step $^{238}U \rightarrow ^{88}Kr$ 744 MeV/uSecondary beam: $^{88}Kr$ 744 MeV/uYield of $^{88}Kr$ / incident $^{238}U$ : $2.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (20)Charge states after production target:fully stripped								<sup>-3</sup> (26	mb)	
Al degrader Al degrader Charge stat	at S1: at S2: es after degrac	7500 r 8000 r lers:	ng/cm <sup>2</sup> ng/cm <sup>2</sup>	2 2	173 M fully str	eV/u ripped		}	d/R= 0.9	
Energy at reaction target (S4):140 MeV/uCharge states at reaction target (S4):fully stripped										
Slits: S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm	(open) (open) (open)									
Transmissic At S1 after of At S2 after of Total at S4:	on of <sup>88</sup> Kr: degrader: degrader: (σ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>88</sup> Kr) = 2.	1 cm)		3.8 % 0.39 % 0.27 %	, 0 0	Yield /	inciden 8.0 · 10 8.2 · 10 5.7 · 10	t partio - <sup>5</sup> - <sup>6</sup>	cle:	
Yield of <sup>88</sup> Ki	r at S4 / all frag	gments	:	0.36						
	Yield of <sup>88</sup> Kr a	at S4/ ii	nciden	t <sup>238</sup> U:			5.7 · 10	-6	(5700 pps)	
Second step	o <sup>88</sup> Kr → <sup>88</sup> Kr(2	<u>2<sup>+</sup>):</u>								
Reaction ta	rget at S4:	<sup>208</sup> Pb		400 m	g/cm <sup>2</sup>				d/R= 0.2	
Energy of <sup>88</sup>	Kr behind the	reactior	n targe	t:	122 N	/leV/u				
Yield of <sup>88</sup> Kı Yield of <sup>88</sup> Kı	$r(2_{1}^{+}) / incident$ $r(2_{2}^{+}) / incident$	<sup>88</sup> Kr: <sup>88</sup> Kr:				3.2 <sup>.</sup> 1 8.0 <sup>.</sup> 1	0 <sup>-4</sup> (200 0 <sup>-5</sup> (50	) mb, 1 mb, 0.	1.8 pps) 46 pps)	
Estima	ted pγ rate (3%	όγeffic	iency)	: fc fc	or <sup>88</sup> Kr(2 or <sup>88</sup> Kr(2	2 <sup>+</sup> 1) 19 2 <sup>+</sup> 2) 5	4 per ho 0 per ho	our our		

#### Some additional information

The fission cross section for <sup>86</sup>Se is 0.910 mb compared to 26 mb for <sup>88</sup>Kr.

Slits: S1 ± 10cm S2 ± 10cm S3 ± 10cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{238}$ U after S1 degrader: $6.1 \cdot 10^{-3} (6.1 \cdot 10^{6} \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{238}$ U before SC21: $8.8 \cdot 10^{-4} (8.8 \cdot 10^{5} \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{238}$ U before MUSIC at S4: $2.6 \cdot 10^{-5} (2.6 \cdot 10^{4} \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident  $^{238}$ U behind the reaction target: $2.5 \cdot 10^{-5} (2.5 \cdot 10^{4} \text{ pps})$ 

 $B\rho(D1) = 10.648 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 8.9225 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 4.8265 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.8251 \text{ Tm}$ 





# C. Fahlander et al.: **Relativistic Coulomb excitation of nuclei near** <sup>100</sup>Sn

Nucleus of interest:	<sup>104</sup> Sn								
Primary beam: Production target:	<sup>124</sup> Xe <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pp 4 g/cm	ทร 1 <sup>2</sup>	550 MeV/u			d/R=0	).56	
<u>First step <sup>124</sup>Xe→ <sup>104</sup>Sn:</u> Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>104</sup> Sn / incident par Charge states after produc	<sup>104</sup> Sn rticle tion targ	get:		309 MeV/u fully st	6.8 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>.</sup> tripped	<sup>-7</sup> (4.5	10 <sup>-3</sup> m	ıb)	
Al degrader at S1: Al degrader at S2: Charge states after degrad	1560 m lers:	- ng/cm²	2	- 155 MeV/u fully stripped		}	d/R=	0.55	
Energy at reaction target ( Charge states at reaction t	S4): arget (S	64):		95 MeV/u fully stripped					
Slits: S1 ± 3cm S2 ± 10cm (open) S3 (-2;2.5)									
Transmission of <sup>104</sup> Sn: At S1 after slits: At S2 after slits: At reaction target:(σ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>104</sup> Sn	) = 1.7	cm)	87 % 73 % 55 %	Yield /	' inciden 6.0 <sup>·</sup> 10 5.0 <sup>·</sup> 10 3.7 <sup>·</sup> 10	t parti   <sup>-7</sup>   <sup>-7</sup>	cle:		
Yield of <sup>104</sup> Sn at S4 / all fra	gments	:	0.06						
Yield of <sup>104</sup> Sn	at S4/	incide	nt parti	cle	3	8.7 <sup>.</sup> 1	0 <sup>-7</sup>	(370 pps	6)
Canadatan 1040a 1040	• (Q <sup>+</sup> ).								
Second step $-Sn \rightarrow -S$	<u>n(2):</u>			. 2					
Reaction target at S4:	<sup>200</sup> Pb		200 n	ng/cm²			d/R=	0.26	
Energy of <sup>104</sup> Sn behind the	reactio	n targ	et:	77 MeV/u					
Yield of <sup>104</sup> Sn(2 <sup>+</sup> ) / incident Yield of <sup>104</sup> Sn(2 <sup>+</sup> ) / isotopes	: <sup>104</sup> Sn: s of Sn (	(produ	cts of	<sup>104</sup> Sn+ <sup>208</sup> Pb re	8 · 10 <sup>·</sup> eaction):	<sup>5</sup> (20 0.92	0 mb, 2	0.03 pps)	)

Estimated py rate for  $^{104}$ Sn(2<sup>+</sup>) (3% y efficiency at 1.3 MeV): 3 /h

Slits: S1 ± 3 cm S2 ± 10 cm S3 (-2;2.5) cm

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21:  $1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} (1.5 \ 10^{5} \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4:  $6.4 \cdot 10^{-6} (6.4 \ 10^{3} \text{ pps})$ 

Yield with slits open (all frag./ip before SC21): $1.7 \cdot 10^{-4} (1.7 \cdot 10^5)$ Yield with slits open (all frag./ip before MUSIC at S4): $1.2 \cdot 10^{-5} (1.2 \cdot 10^4)$ Transmission of  $^{104}$ Sn with open slits:63%

 $B\rho(D1) = 5.6856 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 5.6875 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 3.8845 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 3.8842 \text{ Tm}$ 





# C. Fahlander et al.: **Relativistic Coulomb excitation of nuclei near** <sup>100</sup>Sn

Nucleus	of interest:	<sup>108</sup> Sn						
Primary Producti	beam: on target:	<sup>124</sup> Xe <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>9</sup> pr 4 g/cn	os n²	600 MeV/u			d/R=0.5
First step Seconda Yield of Charge s	o <sup>124</sup> Xe→ <sup>108</sup> Sn: ary beam: <sup>108</sup> Sn / incident pa states after produc	<sup>108</sup> Sn rticle ction tai	rget:		377 MeV/u fully s	5.0 10⁻⁴ tripped	<sup>4</sup> (3 ml	D)
Al degra Al degra Charge s	der at S1: der at S2: states after degrac	ו 1770 930 ו ders:	ng/cm ng/cm	2 2	263 MeV/u 158 MeV/u fully stripped		}	d/R= 0.67
Energy a Charge s	at reaction target ( states at reaction t	S4): arget (	S4):		101 MeV/u fully stripped			
Slits: S1 ± 0.4 S2 ± 3.0 S3 ± 10	cm cm cm (open)							
Transmis At S1 aft At S2 aft At reaction	ssion of <sup>108</sup> Sn: er slits: er slits: on target:(σ <sub>x</sub> ( <sup>108</sup> Sr	n) = 1.7	cm)	24 % 9 % 8 %	Yield	/ inciden 1.2 · 10 4.4 · 10 4.0 · 10	t parti ) <sup>-4</sup> ) <sup>-5</sup>	cle:
Yield of	<sup>108</sup> Sn at S4 / all fra	igment	S:	0.57				
	Yield of <sup>108</sup> Sn at	S4/ inc	ident p	oarticle		4.0	· 10 <sup>-5</sup>	(4 10 <sup>4</sup> pps)
Second	step $^{108}$ Sn $\rightarrow$ $^{108}$ S	<u>n(2<sup>+</sup>):</u>						
Reactior	target at S4:	<sup>208</sup> Pb		200 r	ng/cm <sup>2</sup>			d/R= 0.23
Energy o	of <sup>108</sup> Sn behind the	e reacti	on targ	jet:	85 MeV/u			
Yield of Yield of	<sup>108</sup> Sn(2⁺) / inciden <sup>108</sup> Sn(2⁺) / isotope	t <sup>108</sup> Sn: s of Sn	(produ	ucts of	<sup>108</sup> Sn+ <sup>208</sup> Pb re	1 · 10 <sup>·</sup> eaction):	<sup>.4</sup> (20 0.87	0 mb, 4.6 pps) ,

Estimated py rate for  $^{108}$ Sn(2<sup>+</sup>) (3% y efficiency at 1.3 MeV): 490 /h

Slits: S1  $\pm$  0.4 cm S2  $\pm$  3.0 cm S3  $\pm$  10 cm (open)

Reaction target ± 3.5cm

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21: $5 \cdot 10^{-4} (5 \ 10^5 \text{ pps})$ Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4: $7 \cdot 10^{-5} (7 \ 10^4 \text{ pps})$ 

Yield with slits open (all frag./ip before SC21): $6 \cdot 10^{-3} (6 \cdot 10^{6})$ Yield with slits open (all frag./ip before MUSIC at S4): $10^{-3} (10^{6})$ Transmission of  $^{108}$ Sn with open slits:40%

 $B\rho(D1) = 6.6177 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 5.3945 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 4.0742 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.0742 \text{ Tm}$ 





## Experiment No. 7

#### G. de Angelis et al.

Nuclear magicity at Z  $\sim$  50 N  $\sim$  82 investigated through knock-out reaction of  $^{132}{\rm Sn}$ 

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam : Production target:	$^{132}_{238}Sn ($ $^{238}U$ $^{208}Pb$	Fission fragment $10^8$ pps $1.5$ g/cm <sup>2</sup>	, knock-out ) 700 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{R_t} = 0.15$
First stage $^{238}U \rightarrow ^{132}Sn:$				
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>132</sup> Sn/incident <sup>238</sup> U Charge states after prod. target	$^{132}\mathrm{Sn}$	$6.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	596.5 MeV/u fully stripped	15.4 mb (lit.)
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader		$6183.9~\mathrm{mg/cm^2}$	300.5 MeV/u fully stripped	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.65$
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states at target			270.9 MeV/u fully stripped	
Slits : $S1 = \pm 2 \text{ cm}$ $S2 = \pm 3 \text{ cm}$ $S3 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$				
Transmission of <sup>132</sup> Sn: At S1, after slits At S2, after slits At reaction target( $\sigma_x(^{132}Sn) = 0.92$	cm)	5.9 % 1.6 % 1.2 %	Yield/inci	dent particle: $4.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ $1.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ $8.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Yield of $^{132}$ Sn at S4/all fragments:		0.05 (transmissio	on only)	
Yield of $^{132}$ Sn at S4/incident $^{238}$ U	8.8	$8 \cdot 10^{-7}$ (8.8)	$8 \cdot 10^1 \text{ pps})$	
Second stage $^{132}Sn \rightarrow ^{131}Sn$	*:			
Reaction target at S4 Energy of <sup>131</sup> Sn behind the reaction Yield of <sup>131</sup> Sn/incident <sup>132</sup> Sn Yield of <sup>131</sup> Sn*(l=2, $3s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ )/incident <sup>13</sup>	<sup>9</sup> Be target: <sup>32</sup> Sn	1 g/cm <sup>2</sup> 6.7 $\cdot$ 10 <sup>-3</sup> 6.0 $\cdot$ 10 <sup>-4</sup>	270.8 MeV/u 264.8 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.34$ 100 mb, 0.59 pps 9 mb, 0.05 pps
Estimated $p\gamma$ rate fo	$r^{131}Sn$ (	$(2.7 \% \gamma \text{ eff. at } 1)$	.3  MeV)) : 57  hr	1

Estimated p $\gamma$  rate for <sup>131</sup>Sn (l=2, 3s<sub>1/2</sub>) (2.7 %  $\gamma$  eff. at 1.3 MeV)) : 10 hr.

Slits :  $S1 = \pm 2.0 \text{ cm}$   $S2 = \pm 3.0 \text{ cm}$  $S3 = \pm 10.0 \text{ cm}$ 

Reaction target =  $\pm 3.5$  cm (max.)

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21 : $5.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$  ( $5.1 \cdot 10^{6}$ )Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4 : $1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$  ( $1.0 \cdot 10^{5}$ )

 $B\rho(D1) = 10.6867 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 10.6839 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 7.0973 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 7.0974 \text{ Tm}$ 



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for <sup>132</sup>Sn setting (only transmission).



Figure 2: Mass vs z plot for  $^{132}\mathrm{Sn}$  setting (only transmission).

## Experiment No. 8

A. Maj et al.

# $\frac{\text{Coulomb excitation at intermediate energies -Angular distribution and}}{\text{particle - }\gamma \text{ angular correlation measurement}}$

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam : Production target:	$^{132}_{132}$ Xe ( $^{132}_{132}$ Xe None	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Coulex} \\ 10^5 \text{ pps} \end{array}$	$160 { m MeV/u}$	
$\frac{\text{First stage}}{132} \text{Xe} \rightarrow \frac{132}{32} \text{Xe}$	:			
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>132</sup> Xe/incident <sup>132</sup> Xe	<sup>132</sup> Xe		158.8 MeV/u	
Unarge states after prod. target			Not applicable	
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader			none none not applicable	
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states after target			105.3 MeV/u fully stripped	
Slits : $S1 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$ $S2 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$ $S3 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$				
Transmission of <sup>68</sup> Ni: At S1, after slits At S2, after slits At reaction target( $\sigma_x(^{132}\text{Xe}) = 1.3$	l cm)	$\begin{array}{c} 99.9 \ \% \\ 99.9 \ \% \\ 99.5 \ \% \end{array}$	Yield/incide $\sigma_a = 5.0 \text{ mrad}$ $\sigma_E = 0.12 \text{ MeV/u}$	nt particle: $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$
Yield of $^{132}$ Xe at S4/incident $^{132}$ X	Ke	$\sim 1$	$(10^5 \text{ pps})$	
Second stage $^{132}Xe \rightarrow ^{132}Xe$	Ke*:			
Reaction target at S4 Energy of $^{132}$ Xe behind the reaction Yield of $^{132}$ Xe* $(2^+)$ /incident $^{132}$ Xe	<sup>208</sup> Pb on targe	$50 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ t: $7.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	105.3 MeV/u 97.2 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.05$ ( 500 mb, 7 pps
Estimated $p\gamma$ rate f	or $^{132}X\epsilon$	e (2.7 % $\gamma$ eff.	at 1.3 MeV) : 703 h	$\mathrm{nr.}^{-1}$

)

Slits :  $S1 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$   $S2 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$  $S3 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$ 

Reaction target =  $\pm 3.5$  cm (max.)

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21 :  $1 (1.10^5)$ Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4 :  $1(1.0.10^5)$ 

 $B\rho(D1) = 4.6174 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D2) = 4.6174 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D3) = 4.6174 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.6174 \text{ Tm}$ 



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for  $^{132}\mathrm{Xe}$  Primary beam

## Experiment No. 9

# K.-H. Speidel et al.Magnetic moments of Xenon and tellurium isotopes near doubly-magic $^{132}$ Sn at relativistic beam energies.

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam : Production target:	$^{134}_{136}$ Te ( $^{136}_{9}$ Se	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Coulex } ) \\ 1{\cdot}10^9 \text{ pps} \\ 2.5 \text{ g/cm}^2 \end{array}$	$500 { m MeV/u}$	$\frac{d}{R_t} = 0.37$
First stage $^{136}Xe \rightarrow ^{134}Te:$				
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>134</sup> Te/incident <sup>136</sup> Xe Charge states after prod. target	<sup>134</sup> Te	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	370.7 MeV/u fully stripped	0.4  mb (EPAX2)
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader		$3121.9 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	<sup>2</sup> 150.6 MeV/u $Q_{\circ}=0.85$ $Q_{1}=0.14$	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.75$
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states at reaction target			$100.0 \ {\rm MeV/u} \ {\rm Q_{\circ}}{=}0.85$	
Slits : $S1 = \pm 1 \text{ cm}$ $S2 = \pm 3 \text{ cm}$ $S3 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$				
Transmission of <sup>134</sup> Te: At S1, after slits At S2, after slits At reaction target( $\sigma_x(^{134}\text{Te}) = 1.5$ c	m)	$\begin{array}{c} 67.8 \ \% \\ 48.2 \ \% \\ 45.0 \ \% \end{array}$	Yield/inc	eident particle: $3.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ $2.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ $2.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$
Yield of $^{134}$ Te at S4/all fragments:		0.91		
Yield of $^{134}$ Te at S4/incident $^{136}$ Xe	2	$.0.10^{-5}$	$(2.0 \cdot 10^4 \text{ pps})$	
Second stage $^{134}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{134}\text{Te}^*$	<			

Reaction target at S4	$^{208}\mathrm{Pb}$	$50 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	100.0 MeV/u	$\frac{d}{B} = 0.05$
Energy of <sup>134</sup> Te behind the reaction	target:		96.6  MeV/u	11
Yield of $^{134}\text{Te}^{\star}(2^+)/\text{incident} ^{134}\text{Te}$		$4.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	,	300  mb, 0.9  pps
Estimated p $\gamma$ rate for <sup>1</sup>	$^{34}\text{Te}~(2^+$	) (3.0 $\% \gamma$ eff	f. at 1.3 MeV)) : 94	$hr.^{-1}$
_ /		<i>/ / /</i>		

Slits :  $S1 = \pm 1 \text{ cm}$   $S2 = \pm 3 \text{ cm}$  $S3 = \pm 10 \text{ cm}$ 

Reaction target =  $\pm$  3.5 cm (max.)

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21 : $7.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$  ( $7.9 \cdot 10^{4}$ )Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4 : $2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$  ( $2.8 \cdot 10^{4}$ )

 $B\rho(D1) = 7.8165 \text{ Tm}$   $B\rho(D2) = 7.8165 \text{ Tm}$   $B\rho(D3) = 4.7314 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 4.7314 \text{ Tm}$ 

#### Additional information for g-factor measurment

Ferromagnetic matiral : External magnetic field : Expected Transiant magnetic Field (TF) : Expected precession angle  $(\Phi^{exp}(2^+))$ : Count rate for both field direction (Up/Dn) : Gadolinium ( 50 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) ~ 0.08 Tesla 23 kTesla ( $p_{1s}=0.03, q_{1s}=0.5$ ) 240 mrad 10 hr.<sup>-1</sup> (1.0 %  $\gamma$  eff.)



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for  $^{134}\mathrm{Te}$  setting



Figure 2: Time-of-flight vs energy loss in Music plot for  $^{134}$ Te setting

## Experiment No. 10

## S. Mandal et al. Search for stable octupole deformation in neutron-rich of $^{142-144}$ Ba using relativistic Coulomb excitation.

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam : Production target:	$^{142}_{150}$ Ba ( $^{150}_{9}$ Md $^{9}$ Be	$\left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Coulex} \end{array}  ight) \ 5\cdot 10^9 \ \text{pps} \ 4.0 \ \text{g/cm}^2 \end{array}  ight)$	$600 { m MeV/u}$	$\frac{d}{R_t} = 0.5$
First stage $^{150}Nd \rightarrow ^{142}Ba:$				
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>142</sup> Ba/incident <sup>150</sup> Nd	$^{142}\mathrm{Ba}$	$7.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$382.9~{\rm MeV/u}$	0.06 mb (EPAX2)
Charge states after prod. target			fully stripped	( )
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader		$2450.0~\rm{mg/cm^2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 198.0 \ {\rm MeV/u} \\ {\rm Q_{\circ}}{=}0.86 \\ {\rm Q_{1}{=}0.13} \end{array}$	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.63$
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states at reaction target			$153.0 \ {\rm MeV/u} \ {\rm Q_{\circ}}{=}0.86$	
Slits : S1 = -1,+2  cm $S2 = \pm 3.5 \text{ cm}$ S3 = -2.7,+2.4  cm				
Transmission of $^{142}$ Ba:			Yield/inc	ident particle:
At S1, after slits		44.7 %		$3.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
At S2, after slits	``	24.8 %		$1.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$
At reaction $\operatorname{target}(\sigma_x(^{142}\operatorname{Ba}) = 1.1 \operatorname{c})$	m)	23.4%		$1.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Yield of $^{142}$ Ba at S4/all fragments:		0.12		
Yield of $^{142}$ Ba at S4/incident $^{150}$ Nd	1	$.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ (9	$9.0 \cdot 10^3 \text{ pps})$	
Second stage $^{142}Ba \rightarrow ^{142}Ba$	*:			

Reaction target at S4 <sup>2</sup>	$^{208}\mathrm{Pb}$	$300 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	$153.0 \ \mathrm{MeV/u}$	$\frac{d}{B} = 0.17$
Energy of $^{142}$ Ba behind the reaction t Yield of $^{142}$ Ba*(3 <sup>-</sup> )/incident $^{142}$ Ba	arget:	$6.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	134.8  MeV/u	7.0 mb, 0.06 pps

Estimated p $\gamma$  rate for <sup>142</sup>Ba (3<sup>-</sup>) (3.0 %  $\gamma$  eff. at 1.3 MeV)) : 6 hr.<sup>-1</sup>

Slits : S1 = -1,+2 cm  $S2 = \pm 3.5 \text{ cm}$ S3 = -2.7,+2.4 cm

Reaction target =  $\pm 3.5$  cm (max.)

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21 :  $1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$  (7.1 $\cdot 10^{5}$ ) Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4 :  $1.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (8.7 $\cdot 10^{4}$ )

 $B\rho(D1) = 7.8391 \text{ Tm}$   $B\rho(D2) = 7.8391 \text{ Tm}$   $B\rho(D3) = 5.4024 \text{ Tm}$  $B\rho(D4) = 5.4023 \text{ Tm}$ 



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for  $^{142}\mathrm{Ba}$  setting

2003/01/19 16.31



Figure 2: Time-of-flight vs energy loss in MUSIC plot for  $^{142}\mathrm{Ba}$  setting

#### Zs. Podolyak, et al.:

#### <u>Prompt gamma spectroscopy and isomer tagging.</u> Deformation of five-quasiparticle states in the A $\approx$ 180 mass region

Nucleus of interest:	<sup>179</sup> W							
Primary beam: Production target:	<sup>208</sup> Pb <sup>9</sup> Be	10 <sup>8</sup> pp 1.6g/c	os m²	1GeV/	/u	d/R=0	.13	
Secondary beam Yield of <sup>179</sup> W / incident <sup>208</sup> F Charge states after prod. ta	<sup>179</sup> W Pb arget			897 M 4.23 <sup>. /</sup> fully st	leV/u 10 <sup>-5</sup> (0.9 tripped:	952mb) 74+(57 73+(33 72+(-6	)(EPAXII:( 7.1%) 3.5%) 5.4%)	).893 mb)
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrac	8500 r Iers	- ng/cm²	2	- 293.5 fully st	MeV/u tripped:	1 } ( 74+(65 73+(30	d/R=0.76 5.8%) 0.6%)	
Energy at reaction target ( Charge states at reaction t	S4) arget (	S4)		234.5 fully st	MeV/u tripped:	72+(3 1 (74+) 174+(10	) ) )0%)	
Slits: S1 $\pm$ 15mm(open for <sup>179</sup> W) S2 $\pm$ 40mm(open for <sup>179</sup> W) S3 $\pm$ 15mm(open for <sup>179</sup> W)								
Transmission of <sup>179</sup> W(fully At S1 after slits At S2 after slits At S4 $(\sigma_x)^{179}$ W) = 1.5 cm)	strippe	d):	93.5 % 36.4 % 32.5 %	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yield /	incide 3.96 <sup>.</sup> 1 1.02 <sup>.</sup> 1 0.85 <sup>.</sup> 1	nt particle I0 <sup>-5</sup> I0 <sup>-5</sup> I0 <sup>-5</sup>	:
Yield of $^{179}$ W at S4 / all fractional fraction / all fraction	igment	s	0.23					
Yield of <sup>179</sup> W at S4 / incid	ent 208	Pb		8.5 <sup>.</sup>	10 <sup>-6</sup>	(8.5	<sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>2</sup> pps)	
Yield of I=35/2- isomer at	S4(2.7	%)					23.0/s	
Second step: coulomb ex	citatior	ו						
Reaction target at S4 Energy of <sup>179</sup> W behind the Yield of <sup>179</sup> W(37/2-)/incider Yield of <sup>179</sup> W(39/2-)/incider Estimated p y rate for <sup>179</sup> W( Estimated p y rate for <sup>179</sup> W(	reactic nt <sup>179</sup> W nt <sup>179</sup> W (37/2-)( (39/2-)(	<sup>208</sup> Pb on targe (35/2-) (35/2-) (3% y e (3% y e	(300 m et: fficienc	g/cm²) sy &109 sy &109	% taggi % taggi	2 2*10 1*10 ng effic ng effic	d/R=0.12 15.2 MeV ) <sup>-3</sup> (2327m ) <sup>-4</sup> ( 123m ciency): 2	/u ib) b) 0.5/h .5 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>-2</sup> /h

Slits:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} S1 & \pm 15mm \\ S2 & \pm 40mm \\ S3 & \pm 15mm \end{array}$ 

Reaction target ± 35mm

Yield of all fragments/ incident <sup>208</sup> Pb after S1 slits:	2.9 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>5</sup>
Yield of all fragments/ incident <sup>208</sup> Pb before SC21:	2.9 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>5</sup>
Yield of all fragments/ incident <sup>208</sup> Pb before MUSIC at S4:	3.6 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>3</sup>
Yield of all fragments/ incident <sup>208</sup> Pb behind the reaction target:	2.5 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>3</sup>

#### Bρ(D1) = 12.7 Tm

 $B\rho(D2) = 12.7 \text{ Tm}$ 

 $B\rho(D3) = 6.4 \text{ Tm}$ 

 $B\rho(D4) = 6.4 \text{ Tm}$ 



<sup>208</sup>Pb 1000.0 MeV/u + Be (1600 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>); Settings on <sup>179</sup>W <sup>74+ 74+ 74+ 74+ 74+</sup>; Config: DSWMDMMMWWWSDMSDMMMSMMMI dp/p=1.24%; Wedges: 0, AI (8500 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), 0, 0, 0; Brho(Tm): 12.7396, 12.7396, 6.5086, 6.5086

rieia (pps/mm)





## Experiment No. 12

### J. Gerl et al.

# Investigation of the structure and deformation of $^{185-187}$ Pb by $\gamma$ -spectroscopy and lifetime measurements.

Nucleus of interest: Primary beam :	$^{186}{\rm Pb}$ ( 2 step fragment $^{238}{\rm U}$ 5.10 <sup>8</sup> pps	tation ) 600 MeV/u	_
Production target:	$^9\mathrm{Be}$ 1.6 g/cm <sup>2</sup>		$\frac{d}{R_t} = 0.3$
First stage $^{238}U \rightarrow ^{200}Rn:$			
Secondary beam: Yield of <sup>200</sup> Rn/incident <sup>238</sup> U	$^{200}$ Rn $1.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$443.0~{\rm MeV/u}$	2.06 mb (EPAX2)
Charge states after prod. target	$Q_{\circ} = 0.56, Q_1 = 0.36, Q_2$	=0.07	0.01 mb (Exp.)
Al degrader at S1 Al degrader at S2 Charge states after degrader	$\begin{array}{c} 986.6 \ \mathrm{mg/cm^2} \\ 807.2 \ \mathrm{mg/cm^2} \\ \mathrm{Q_{\circ}}{=}0.16, \ \mathrm{Q_1}{=}0.46, \ \mathrm{Q_2}{=} \end{array}$	442.9 MeV/u 359.2 MeV/u =0.37	$\frac{d}{R} = 0.63$
Energy at reaction target (S4) Charge states after reaction target		$153.8 \ {\rm MeV/u} \ {\rm Q_{\circ}}{=}0.04$	
Slits : $S1 = \pm 1.0 \text{ cm}$ $S2 = \pm 3.0 \text{ cm}$ $S3 = \pm 10. \text{ cm}$			
Transmission of <sup>200</sup> Rn:		Yield/inc	ident particle:
At S1, after slits At S2, after slits	32.6 % 3.5 %	,	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ $5.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
At reaction $\operatorname{target}(\sigma_x(^{200}\operatorname{Rn}) = 1.1 \operatorname{cm})$	m) 3.3 %		$5.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Yield of $^{200}$ Rn at S4/all fragments:	0.02		
Yield of $^{200}$ Rn at S4/incident $^{238}$ U	$5.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ (2)	$2.6 \cdot 10^3 \text{ pps})$	
200			

## Second stage $^{200}$ Rn $\rightarrow ^{186}$ Pb:

Reaction target at S4	$^{27}\mathrm{Al}$	$500 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	$153.0 \ \mathrm{MeV/u}$	$\frac{d}{B} = 0.67$
Energy of <sup>200</sup> Rn behind the reaction	target:		67.8  MeV/u	10
Yield of <sup>186</sup> Pb/incident <sup>200</sup> Rn		$3.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	,	2.9 mb, 0.08 pps
Yield of <sup>186</sup> Pb/all nuclei		$1.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$		
Yield of <sup>186</sup> Pb/isotopes of Pb		$2.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$		

Estimated p $\gamma$  rate for <sup>186</sup>Pb (3.0 %  $\gamma$  eff. at 1.3 MeV)) : 9 hr.<sup>-1</sup>

#### Some additional information

Yield of <sup>185</sup>Pb/incident <sup>200</sup>Rn:

 $1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ , 1.2 mb, 0.03 pps

Estimated p $\gamma$  rate for <sup>185</sup>Pb (3.0 %  $\gamma$  eff. at 1.3 MeV)) : 4 hr.<sup>-1</sup>

Yield of <sup>187</sup>Pb/incident <sup>200</sup>Rn:

 $6.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ , 5.9 mb, 0.16 pps

Estimated p $\gamma$  rate for <sup>186</sup>Pb (3.0 %  $\gamma$  eff. at 1.3 MeV)) : 18 hr.<sup>-1</sup>

Slits : S1 =  $\pm$  1.0 cm

 $S1 = \pm 1.0 \text{ cm}$   $S2 = \pm 3.0 \text{ cm}$  $S3 = \pm 10. \text{ cm}$ 

Reaction target =  $\pm 3.5$  cm (max.)

Yield of all fragments / incident particle before SC21 : $1.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$  ( $8.1 \cdot 10^{5}$ )Yield of all fragments / incident particle before MUSIC at S4 : $3.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  ( $1.7 \cdot 10^{5}$ )

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm B}\rho({\rm D1})=7.8395 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D2})=6.8409 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D3})=5.2980 \ {\rm Tm} \\ {\rm B}\rho({\rm D4})=5.2979 \ {\rm Tm} \end{array}$ 



Figure 1: Position spectrum at S4 for  $^{200}\mathrm{Rn}$  setting



Figure 2: Time-of-flight vs Position plot for  $^{200}\mathrm{Rn}$  setting.